

UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes August 27-28, 1992

08-27-1992

Pages 60-110

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM OF NEVADA

August 27-28, 1992

The Board of Regents met on the above date in the Pine Auditorium, Jot Travis Student Union, University of Nevada, Reno.

Members present: Mrs. Carolyn M. Sparks, Chairman

Mrs. Shelley Berkley

Dr. Jill Derby

Dr. James Eardley

Mr. Joseph M. Foley

Mrs. Dorothy S. Gallagher

Dr. Lonnie Hammargren

Mr. Daniel J. Klaich

Mrs. June F. Whitley

Others present: Chancellor Mark H Dawson

President Anthony Calabro, WNCC

President Joseph Crowley, UNR

President John Gwaltney, TMCC

President Robert Maxson, UNLV

President Paul Meacham, CCSN

President Ron Remington, NNCC

President Jim Taranik, DRI

Mr. Donald Klasic, General Counsel

Mr. Ron Sparks, Vice Chancellor

Dr. John Richardson, Vice Chancellor

Ms. Mary Lou Moser, Secretary

Also present were Faculty Senate Chairmen Bill Baines (TMCC), Carolyn Collins (CCSN), Diane Dietrich (Unit), Richard Egami (DRI), Michon Mackedon (WNCC), Ed Nickel (NNCC), Ellen Pillard (UNR), Robert Skaggs (UNLV) and Student Association Officers.

Chairman Carolyn Sparks called the meeting to order at 9:50 A.M.

Thursday, August 27, 1992, with all Regents present except

Regents Berkley and Whitley.

1. Introductions

Chancellor Dawson introduced Dr. John Richardson, newly appointed Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs, and welcomed Mr. Bill Shields, candidate for Regent seat in Las Vegas.

2. Chairman's Report

Chairman Sparks expressed her appreciation to President Gwaltney and staff for the wonderful Phase V Complex dedication ceremony that she and others took part in the night before.

Chairman Sparks expressed her thanks to those who have demonstrated patience and support throughout the past Summer months in regard to the turmoil in Southern Nevada; i. e., recall campaign, legislative litigation, etc. She maintained that higher education continues to flourish in Nevada.

Chairman Sparks announced the 1992-93 committee assignments as follows:

Academic Affairs Committee Legislative Liaison

Jill Derby, Chairman

Shelley Berkley, Chairman

Shelley Berkley James Eardley

Daniel Klaich Daniel Klaich

June Whitley June Whitley

Investment Committee Audit Committee

Daniel Klaich, Chairman Dorothy Gallagher, Chairman

James Eardley James Eardley

Joseph Foley June Whitley

Status of Women Research Affairs

Jill Derby, Chairman Lonnie Hammargren, Chairman

Shelley Berkley Jill Derby

Daniel Klaich Joseph Foley

June Whitley Dorothy Gallagher

Joseph Crowley, UNR

Paul Meacham, CCSN Budget & Finance Committee

Isabelle Emerson, UNLV

Jacqueline Kirkland, TMCC Committee of the Whole

Ad Hoc Committee on Ethnic Minority Affairs

June Whitley, Chairman John Gwaltney, TMCC

Jill Derby

Robert Maxson, UNLV

Joseph Foley

Paul Meacham, CCSN

Anthony Calabro, WNCC

Ron Remington, NNCC

Joseph Crowley, UNR

James Taranik, DRI

Chairman Sparks indicated that because of the Com Dex convention in Las Vegas, the regularly scheduled Board of Regents' meeting on November 19-20, 1992 has been moved to Reno.

It has been requested that the Board of Regents meet with the State Board of Education to develop a formal letter to the Governor decrying the budget reductions. Chairman Sparks stated that she felt the State Board of Education wanted a much stronger letter to be sent to the Governor than the Board of Regents would want to submit. Mrs. Gallagher stated that the Board of Regents should develop its own letter, and Mr. Klaich stated that Chairman Sparks has already articulated the Board's budget position to the Governor and felt the letter would not be necessary. Chairman Sparks stated that the joint meeting will be postponed and she would convey that to Mrs. Carley Sullivan, Chairman of the State Board of Education. Dr. Derby did state that during the recent Academic Affairs Retreat, it was recom-

mended that the Board of Regents meet jointly with the State Board of Education.

3. Approved Consent Agenda

Approved the Consent Agenda (identified as Ref. A, filed with the permanent minutes) containing the following:

(1) Approved the minutes of the regular meeting held June 25-26, 1992 and minutes of the special meeting held August 10, 1992.

(2) Approved the gifts, grants and contracts, listed in Ref. C-1, filed with the permanent minutes.

(3) Approved the following appointment to the Information Science Research Institute Advisory Board at UNLV:

Donna Harman, Gaithersberg, Maryland

(4) Approved the following appointment to the School of Medicine Advisory Board:

Renee Diamond, Las Vegas

(5) Approved the following waivers of the one-year notification for early retirement at UNR:

A. Loretta Anne Amaral, Getchell Library, Plan I,
effective April 20, 1993

B. Ken Braunstein, Department of Criminal Justice,
originally applied for the Early Retirement Incentive Program in April, 1992, to begin a phase-in retirement under that program on July 1, 1993.
He would have met the eligibility requirement of 25 years of service by that date.

Since that time, he has offered to begin phase-in retirement one year early in order to help meet budget shortfalls allocated to the College of Arts and Science, UNR. This change is agreeable with the Dean. Approval of the one-year notification requirement would also include a waiver of .75 years of the eligibility requirement under the Early Retirement Incentive Program. Allowing the phase-in to begin one year early will save the Department funds this year and the incentive pay-

ment would not be due until July, 1997.

(6) Approved administrative leave for Stan Aiazzi, Dean of Student Services at NNCC, beginning April, 1993 to study computer technology.

(7) Approved the following interlocal agreements:

A. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNR and the State Division of Environmental Protection (Interlocal Contract)

Effective Date: July 1, 1992 to August 31, 1993

Amount : \$120,000 to UNR

Purpose : UNR to provide seminars and conference presentations.

B. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNR and the State Division of Mental Hygiene and Retardation (Interlocal Contract)

Effective Date: July 1, 1992 to June 30, 1993

Amount : Not to exceed \$2,597,539 annually to UNR

Purpose : UNR to provide staff psychologists

for Division of Mental Hygiene and
Retardation.

C. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNR and the State Division
of Mental Hygiene and Retardation (Interlocal
Contract)

Effective Date: July 1, 1992 to June 30, 1993

Amount : Not to exceed \$9360 to UNR

Purpose : UNR to provide psychology doctoral
candidate students to Sierra De-
velopmental Center for psychologi-
cal services.

D. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNR and the State Division
of Child and Family Services (Interlocal Contract)

Effective Date: July 1, 1992 to June 30, 1993

Amount : \$36,300 to UNR

Purpose : Training by UNR to adolescent
mothers to prevent child abuse.

E. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNR and the Nevada Depart-
ment of Conservation

Effective Date: Upon approval by the Board of

Regents to December 30, 1992

Amount : \$15,000 to UNR

Purpose : UNR to establish non-point source

model protocols for the determin-

ation of TMDLs for the Carson

River.

F. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNR and the City of Reno

(Easement)

Effective Date: Perpetual easement

Amount : \$0.0

Purpose : UNR grants easement to City of

Reno for storm drain channel near

University of Nevada School of

Medicine.

G. UCCSN Board of Regents/UNLV and the State Job

Training Office (Interlocal Contract)

Effective Date: July 1, 1992 to June 30, 1993

Amount : Not to exceed \$20,000 to UNLV

Purpose : UNLV to train displaced home-makers.

H. UCCSN Board of Regents/CCSN and the State Occupational Information Coordinating Committee (Inter-local Contract)

Effective Date: July 1, 1992 to June 30, 1993

Amount : \$1400 to CCSN

Purpose : CCSN to lease data base from SOICC's Nevada Career Information System.

Mr. Klaich moved adoption of the Consent Agenda and approval of the prepared agenda with the authority to change the order of items as specified throughout the meeting. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

4. Chancellor's Report

Chancellor Dawson announced that Ernst and Young have been contracted to review the UNLV Foundation land sale and will report at the October Board meeting.

Chancellor Dawson stated that the State Budget Office has submitted budget instructions to all State agencies. Included in the instructions is a directive that no new programs should be requested unless an agency has been designated a "lead agency" to develop a plan to achieve one of the long-term objectives identified to address a critical issue. The State has identified five "critical issues" to maintain its preeminent position in gaming and intensify efforts to diversify its economic base: (1) Competitive Position, (2) Environment, (3) Population & Demographics, (4) Health Care and (5) Intergovernmental Relations.

UCCSN has been designated as the "lead agency" under two of these critical issues:

- (1) Nevada's Competitive Position - (Objective #3) Throughout the decade, build stronger partnerships between the private sector and Nevada's educational system.
- (3) Population and Demographics - (Objective #1) By 1995, enhance and strongly promote development of educational programs to enable limited English speaking persons to break through the language barrier preventing their move up the economic ladder. Under competitive posi-

tion -- through the decade, build stronger partnerships between the private sector and Nevada's educational system.

Dr. Derby announced that the Association of Community College Trustees (ACCT) will be holding its annual convention in Anaheim, California on October 21-24, 1992. She encouraged other members of the Board to attend the meeting.

5. Information Only: Outstanding Students Recognition

At the request of the Board of Regents, each Student Government Officer reported on the outstanding student achievements from the institution.

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Michael Mc Donald

Mrs. Berkley and Mrs. Whitley entered the meeting.

6. Approved Appointments with Tenure, UNLV

Approved the following appointments with tenure at UNLV:

A. Dr. Michael Altekruise, Professor, Department of Counseling, Educational Psychology and Foundations

B. Dr. Terance C. Miethe, Associate Professor of Criminal Justice, effective January 12, 1993

C. Dr. Edward Neumann, Professor and Chair, Department of Civil Engineering, effective July 1, 1992

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the appointments with tenure at UNLV. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

7. Approved FY 1993 General Fund Budget Reductions

Vice Chancellor Sparks presented the FY 1993 General Fund Budget Reductions requested by the Governor in January 1992 of \$18,988,664 (approved by the Board February 28, 1992) and July 1992 of \$6,000,000, as contained in Ref. C, filed in the Regents' Office.

General Fund Reduction for FY 92 amounted to \$12,958,893.

The grand total for the biennium in general fund reductions amounted to \$37,947,557 or 9.2% of the UCCSN appropriations

for the 1992-93 biennium.

Approved the July 1992 reduction of \$6 million as presented for the institutions by Vice Chancellor Sparks.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the FY 1993 General Fund Budget Reductions in the amount of \$6 million. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

8. Approved 1993-95 Biennial Budget

Vice Chancellor Sparks presented the 1993-95 UCCSN Biennial Budget, which was amended and distributed at the meeting. It is filed in the Regents' Office.

Vice Chancellor Sparks explained that the University and Community College System of Nevada (UCCSN) biennial budget request for 1993-95 consists of a "Base Budget" and "Priority Requests for New Funding".

The "Base Budget" extends the current year (FY 93) Board of Regents approved operating budget into the next biennium with adjustments for student enrollment increases, requests to fund previously approved budget formulas, inflation,

operating funds for new facilities and selected special adjustments.

A total of 191.8 new full-time and 76.7 part-time faculty are requested in the "Base Budget" along with 53.9 classified support staff, all directly tied to instruction. Funding, equal to 50% of the deficit, for formulas recommended to the 1987 Legislature by an interim legislative committee which studied how Nevada funds higher education (LCB 87-30) is also included in the "Base Budget". These formulas are designed to shore up the UCCSN institutions by providing a stable, dependable budget addressing basic needs.

Student enrollment is projected to increase by approximately 6% over the biennium. When Fall 1992 enrollments are available, adjustments to these projections will be requested where appropriate.

The surcharges for student fees which were enacted to help offset the general fund budget reductions will expire at the conclusion of fiscal 1993. Fees for the next biennium are based on the legislative budgeted fees for FY 1993 which include \$51 per credit for registration fees at the Universities, \$27 per credit at the Community Colleges, \$73

per credit for graduate fees and a non-resident tuition of \$1950 per semester at the Universities and \$1500 per semester at the Community Colleges. The Board of Regents is currently reviewing its tuition and fee policy and adjustments to these fees may be submitted at a later date. The "Base Budget" requested for fiscal 1993-94 increases by 11.3% over the 1992-93 budget and by an additional 5.9% for fiscal 1994-95.

"Priority Requests for New Funding" include a request for the remaining 50% for previously approved budget formulas, requests for Campus equipment, increasing the merit pool and part-time salaries, completion of the Management Information System and installation of a Southern Regional Data Center. This section of the budget also includes programs to assist the State in its economic development efforts as well as selected new initiatives. The total for all priority requests for the 1993-95 biennium is \$72.9 million.

Vice Chancellor Sparks highlighted the summary schedules which are outlined in the biennial budget requests.

Mr. Foley moved to adopt the proposed and amended Base Budg-

et as submitted. Dr. Hammargren seconded. Motion carried.

Included in the 1993-95 UCCSN Biennial Budget document along with the "Base Budget" is the "Priority Requests for New Funding" which Vice Chancellor Sparks highlighted and are grouped into three categories and prioritized as follows:

No. 1. Enhancing instruction and instructional-support efforts for undergraduate and graduate programs.

No. 2. Providing equipment for instruction and research and enhancing information and accountability systems.

No. 3. Enhancing economic development and new initiatives.

Priority No. 1 contains funding requests for several key areas important to UCCSN. The largest portion is for full funding for the formulas adopted in 1986 by the Legislative Committee Studying Funding of Higher Education (LCB 87-30). These formulas provide for support services for faculty and students, additional graduate assistant positions, library books, instructional equipment and increased grants-in-aid.

Recall that 50% of the amount needed for full funding is in the base budget with the remaining amount included in the priority budget.

Other key areas in Priority No. 1 include increasing the merit pool to 2.5% and extending it to all professionals, increasing the part-time salary pool at the Community Colleges to the beginning salary of an instructor position and increasing the Universities' share of indirect cost recovery funds to 75%.

Priority No. 2 contains funds for instruction and research equipment, academic and administrative computing equipment and funding for the Southern Regional Data Center and completion of the Management Information System (MIS). Also included in this priority is a systemwide library computing project and a systemwide telecommunications project.

Priority No. 3 is a mixture of requests dealing with programs designed to assist the State in its economic development efforts, increased support for the University Press and the Chancellor's Office, operational support for the Super Computer at UNLV, new programs in rural and other areas of the State, and scholarships and programs for the

handicapped and under-represented population.

Mrs. Gallagher stated that given that the base budget is the number one priority for UCCSN to be fully funded, she felt that the Board would be embarrassed in submitting the priority requests to the Governor for additional funding in the amount of \$72 million.

Mrs. Berkley disagreed and felt that the Board would be even more embarrassed with its response to its constituents if the priority needs were not presented. She felt that the priorities should be presented, knowing full well that UCCSN would probably not receive the funding. She noted that other State agencies were submitting their needs.

Chairman Sparks indicated that UCCSN went through this same process during the last legislative session. She felt that the Governor and Legislators should review UCCSN's needs, and allow the Presidents the opportunity to speak to the needs and defend the budget.

Mr. Klaich stated that historically he has agreed with presenting the base budget along with the priority requests, but in light of the present conditions, he too agrees that

UCCSN should not present the priority requests at this time.

He raised the concern of appearing "realistic" inasmuch as UCCSN will probably not receive any additional funding for the priorities.

Dr. Derby stated that this decision is a very difficult one to make. She stated that priorities 1 and 2 were very compelling, however, priority 3 is very vital to the System.

Mr. Foley stated that during his service on the Board of Regents the budget process has never changed. He felt very strongly that the process needs to change with the times. He felt that the Board has been placed in a very difficult position and agreed with both Regents Gallagher and Berkley on their views.

Mrs. Berkley stated that she was unsettled on the budget process during the last legislative session, and once again there is no time to change the process for this upcoming session. She felt that the budget was put together backwards, and felt that UCCSN must correct these injustices. The priority requests are UCCSN's ammunition to plea for the needs of the System.

Chairman Sparks reminded the Board that prior to 1986 the budget was presented very differently. A committee was formed to review better funding for higher education. The committee developed the current funding formula that is most equitable for the System and if funded at 100% the System would be in a much better financial situation; however, the Legislators have never fully funded the formulas since they were developed.

Dr. Eardley requested a response from each of the Presidents on how they feel about presenting the priority requests to the Governor and Legislature.

Prior to the Presidents' remarks, Mr. Klaich stated that the context in which he agreed with Mrs. Berkley and the way the budget is put together has to do with some of the master planning that UCCSN has been doing over the last few years with Academic Affairs, and the Capital Improvements. It feeds into the report on retention and attrition, and through the process these issues are lost by acting on the present budget process. He stated that he was not disagreeing that this isn't the best direction, but that by dealing with those items piece-meal UCCSN somehow is never able to deal with the larger philosophical issues that would allow

UCCSN to change the course of higher education in the State of Nevada. He stated that if he were going to make such change, he would give some real thought to how expensive it is to educate a student at the Universities, and would try to place a much greater emphasis on the transfer mission of the Community Colleges by funding that mission appropriately. In addition, he would direct the senior institutions to enhance and strengthen their articulation by taking advantage of some of the really wonderful teaching that takes place in the first two years of a baccalaureate degree at the Community College level by combining that with higher entrance requirements. If not everyone can afford education at the Universities, then UCCSN should be willing to get the lion's share of the portion of the budget to our Community Colleges. And, allow the Community Colleges to do things that will act in a more Campus-like atmosphere, which President Gwaltney has relayed to the Board in the past. However, the Board never takes on those hard issues and when it does, the Board takes the issues one by one and gets ridiculed, and therefore, the Board retracts the issues. He stated that the budget is then presented and the Board passes the budget with frustration. He noted that all the Presidents have the responsibility to take home the bacon for their institutions, and have to fight for

their respective institutions. Mr. Klaich stated that if he were going to try and come close to agreeing with Mr. Foley, it seems that the Board is looking at a flat biennium for State appropriations, whereas the Presidents have very little to lose or gain by laying down their swords and looking at a new way of doing business. It may be the only chance of revising the way UCCSN distributes the appropriated funds or UCCSN can forget it and go on with the same old futile way it puts together budgets as always.

The Presidents agreed that the priority requests should be presented because they represent the minimal needs of the institutions which were derived from a lengthy list of requests from each Campus. When questioned about enough funds to recuperate the loss in the base budget and to fully fund the formulas vs. the priority requests, President Maxson responded that the base budget is the number one priority. Mrs. Gallagher posed another question where if there were funding for the priority requests, would the institutions spread the funding throughout the three requests or fund them in order? President Crowley responded that he hoped the Board would allow the Presidents to negotiate and make recommendations should that be the case.

President Meacham was unavailable to discuss the priority requests for his Campus, therefore discussion was continued below.

The open meeting recessed at 1:30 P.M. for lunch and reconvened at 2:50 P.M. Thursday, August 27, 1992 with all Regents present except Regents Foley and Hammargren.

A presentation was made by President Meacham to President Maxson on behalf of the Student Government Associations at UNLV and CCSN. UNLV's Student Government Association, CSUN, challenged CCSN's Student Government Association, ASCCSN, to a softball game on August 1. ASCC won the challenge, 37 to 24, therefore President Meacham presented a handkerchief with the score embroidered on it to President Maxson. ASCCSN President Angel Chipman took the opportunity to challenge CSUN to a basketball game in the near future.

Dr. Hammargren returned to the meeting.

8. Approved 1993-95 Biennial Budget (continued)

President Meacham agreed that the priority requests should be forwarded to the Governor and Legislators.

In addition, President Crowley requested Dr. David Mc Nelis to address the misunderstanding in regard to EPSCoR funding.

Dr. Mc Nelis informed the Board that \$1.3 million is needed for the EPSCoR I program which represents the existing commitment on the Campuses. He requested \$1.4 million per year as a matching fund for federal grants for new EPSCoR programs in Nevada and that it be placed with priority number 3.

Dr. Derby moved approval of priority requests 1, 2 and 3, as presented with the inclusion of \$1.4 million per year for EPSCoR to be placed in priority number 3. Dr. Hammargren seconded.

Mr. Klaich requested clarification, and Dr. Mc Nelis explained that \$1.3 million is needed to maintain the existing EPSCoR I program to be derived from the Estate Tax funds, and \$1.4 million is needed for EPSCoR III which is for the future. He stated that the State withdrew EPSCoR II request because of lack of funding. President Taranik added that the federal government is shifting the financial responsibilities back to the States. The NSF EPSCoR program is a capstone program and represents great opportuni-

ties for Nevada. If Nevada wishes to continue to be a part of this program, then a commitment is needed. He stated that if funding is unavailable, Nevada could withdraw its application that is due in November.

Mrs. Gallagher and Mr. Klaich voted against the motion.

Motion carried.

9. Discussion on 1993-95 Tuition and Fees

On August 14, 1992 the following members of the Chancellor's Fee Recommendation Committee met to review data and to make a recommendation to the Chancellor on an appropriate level of tuition and fees for the next biennium:

Regent representatives Sparks, Eardley, Derby, Whitley;

Student representatives Covington, Chapman, Dollison,

Kostman;

Campus representatives Ackerman, Aizley, Belanger,

Davies, Dhingra, Gwaltney, Maxson, Miltenberger;

Chancellor's Office representatives Dawson, Sparks,

Steinberg, Krutz.

Mrs. Karen Steinberg, Director of Institutional Research,

gave a brief overview of the Committee's work.

The Tuition Committee recommended the following fees for
the 1993-95 Biennium:

UCCSN FEES USING WICHE AVERAGE GUIDELINE

(Without Minnesota)

WITHOUT SURCHARGES INCLUDED IN 1992-93 BASE

93-94 94-95

REGISTRATION FEES

Universities Undergrad. (UCCSN fees calculated using avg.
increase of last 5 years of 10.4% + 1%)

| | |
|---------------|---------|
| WICHE Average | \$69.00 |
|---------------|---------|

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| UNR | \$56.80 | \$63.30 |
|-----|---------|---------|

| | | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| % Change | 11.4% | 11.4% |
|----------|-------|-------|

| | | |
|------|---------|---------|
| UNLV | \$56.80 | \$63.30 |
|------|---------|---------|

| | | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| % Change | 11.4% | 11.4% |
|----------|-------|-------|

Universities Graduate (UCCSN fees calculated using avg.

increase of last 5 years of 11.2% + 1%)

| | |
|---------------|----------|
| WICHE Average | \$101.55 |
|---------------|----------|

| | | |
|-----|---------|---------|
| UNR | \$81.90 | \$91.90 |
|-----|---------|---------|

| | | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| % Change | 12.2% | 12.2% |
|----------|-------|-------|

| | | |
|------|---------|---------|
| UNLV | \$81.90 | \$91.90 |
|------|---------|---------|

| | | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| % Change | 12.2% | 12.2% |
|----------|-------|-------|

Community Colleges (UCCSN fees calculated using average

increase of last 5 years of 7.4% + 1%)

| | |
|---------------|---------|
| WICHE Average | \$32.45 |
|---------------|---------|

| | | |
|-------|---------|---------|
| UCCSN | \$29.30 | \$31.80 |
|-------|---------|---------|

| | | |
|----------|------|------|
| % Change | 8.4% | 8.4% |
|----------|------|------|

NONRESIDENT TUITION

Universities (UCCSN tuition calculated using avg. increase

of last 5 years of 17.6% + 1%)

| | |
|---------------|--------|
| WICHE Average | \$7403 |
|---------------|--------|

| | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| UNR and UNLV | \$4625 | \$5485 |
| % Change | 18.6% | 18.6% |

Community Colleges

| | |
|---------------|--------|
| WICHE Average | \$2574 |
|---------------|--------|

| | | |
|----------|--------|--------|
| UCCSN | \$3000 | \$3000 |
| % Change | ---- | ---- |

In addition, the Committee further recommended that beginning Fall 1994, 50% of all tuition and fee increases dedicated to the State budget be earmarked for student financial assistance.

Chancellor Dawson recommended adoption of the above committee recommendations.

Mr. Klaich stated that he was very much in favor of the current tuition and fee policy when it was first adopted, but is now having difficulty with the steep fee increases the policy is demanding. The increases do not relate to the Nevada experience and is not very understandable by students and their parents. He would much rather prefer a

policy that indicates the increases are for the betterment of the citizens of the State and that a fair portion of the burden is being placed upon them. He suggested that a policy reflect a percentage of the budget for student fees. He felt that by using the WICHE average it does not reflect the needs in Nevada. He indicated that he would not vote for a policy or increase that uses the WICHE average as the indicator. He requested that this item be placed on the October agenda for further discussion.

Upon questioning, Mr. Klaich explained that the surcharge in the biennium budget was the driver due to the financial exigency in the State of Nevada. This has caused a disparity in fees between the institutions.

Dr. Hammargren moved approval of a moratorium on fees for two years with fees set at the current level plus the surcharge. Mrs. Berkley seconded.

Dr. Hammargren requested that Chancellor's staff review the policy over the next two years in order for the Board to consider a policy over the long run.

Mrs. Berkley stated that she was not inclined to approve a

tuition and fee increase as a last resort, but believed that the financial situation has not changed, and there is a need for a surcharge, and felt that a moratorium should be tied in with some type of policy in order for the System to defend its decision.

Dr. Derby spoke against the motion stating she did not feel the Board of Regents could wait for two years for a policy to be developed. She stated that 1) it would be an act of bad faith to continue the surcharge; 2) the University fees should be identical and that the surcharge causes a difference; and 3) the 50% of "new increases" to be earmarked for student financial assistance should not include the surcharge fee.

Dr. Hammargren stated that Dr. Derby's third remark is not reflected in the motion that is on the floor and he clarified his motion by stating that there would not be an increase of tuition and fees, but that the tuition and fees would be the same as the surcharge fee and would not extend the surcharge fee any further.

President Maxson preferred further discussion be held at the Board's October meeting stating that to hold a discus-

sion and make a decision at this time is unfair to the students who have been on Summer break during the Committee's deliberations. He indicated that the students did agree to the fee increase, however they did not think that the "surcharge" would sunset. The student leadership has changed, and UNLV would agree to take a budget reduction if the surcharge was not continued. He suggested that the 50% of all tuition and fee increases dedicated to the State budget and earmarked for student financial assistance begin in 1994.

Mrs. Gallagher agreed that the Board could not wait for two years and stated that if UCCSN does not set fees, the Governor's Office and/or Legislators could be reducing funding in the System's budgets.

Dr. Eardley suggested that the surcharge be changed to a fee. He stated that no one on this Board enjoys raising tuition and fees. He suggested that the Board establish a fee at the current rate for 1992-93.

President Crowley stated that he felt a policy should be in place in order for the Board of Regents to negotiate with the Governor and Legislators, but that the policy should be

deliberated by the Board over time. He agreed with dedicating a portion of the increase to student financial assistance. He reminded the Board that the System once had a moratorium on tuition and fees for a duration of 4 years. The Legislature then raised the tuition and fees and that is when students objected and the current policy was developed to raise tuition and fees in increments.

UNR Faculty Senate Chairman Ellen Pillard stated that UNR has struggled with the budget reductions and has tried to reduce the burden placed on students by increasing tuition and fees. She stated that if approval was delayed it would give the faculty and students time to consider a tuition and fee policy.

Mr. Klaich felt that this policy is a "tax" on the middle class student; i. e., scholarships for the "bright" and financial assistance to the "poor".

UNR faculty member Jim Richardson stated that he supported the recommendation as presented by the Chancellor and reminded the Board that WICHE figures are used in other ways by the State to make comparisons.

Chancellor Dawson recommended that the Board of Regents delay any action on the tuition and fees. He suggested that the Board of Regents meet as a committee of the whole to discuss this issue with student government representatives and the Presidents.

Dr. Hammargren withdrew the motion. Mrs. Berkley agreed.

UNR Graduate Student Association President Laurence Doyle expressed to the Board that student fees have increased by 55% and now the Board is requesting an 11% increase. He felt that the Legislature should fund student financial assistance. He appreciated that President Crowley and Vice President Hoover had included the students in the budget discussions.

Mr. Klaich stated that education in Nevada is a bargain for the students, however, the Board needs to look at the impact on the System and develop a policy.

The open meeting recessed at 3:50 P.M. to move into committee meetings and reconvened at 4:45 P.M. Thursday, August 27, 1992 with all Regents present except Regents Foley, Gallagher and Hammargren.

10. Report and Recommendations of the Investment Committee

A report and recommendations of the Investment Committee meeting, held August 27, 1992, were made by Regent Daniel Klaich, Chairman.

(1) The Board of Regents, at its September 5-6, 1991 meeting, deferred for one year the issuance of a UCCSN RFP for commercial banking services. The conclusion of the RFP process was to be a new contract commencing services in January, 1993.

Approved an extension of up to two years before going to bid on commercial banking services due to the many changes occurring in Nevada banks. The UCCSN Treasurer's Office is currently feeling the consequences of the publicized bank mergers and needs this requested time extension to protect the treasure functions for UCCSN. Staff does not anticipate any beneficial reductions in bank fees by an RFP process. In addition, the professional staff that would participate in the new RFP process is heavily committed to implementing the new accounting system involving every

UCCSN institution.

The two-year extension, as approved, anticipates the conclusion of the RFP process for commercial banking services so that newly contracted services would begin January, 1995.

The Board will be kept informed of any changes in the banking environment which would modify this request.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Investment Committee. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

11. Approved UCCSN Code Amendments, Chapter 6

Approved the UCCSN Code amendments, as contained in Ref. D, filed with the permanent minutes.

6.2.4 Sexual Harassment

6.8.2 Investigation, Informal Resolution or

Recommendations for Hearing

6.12.3 Appointment of the Special Hearing Committee

6.12.6 Challenges

6.12.8 Summary of Time Limits

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the UCCSN Code amendments as listed above. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

12. Information Only: Amendment, Regents' Bylaws

Regent Derby requested approval of an amendment to the Regents' Bylaws, Article VI, Section 3(g), as contained in Ref. E, filed in the Regents' Office, which deals with the composition of the Status of Women Committee. This will constitute the first reading of the proposed amendment, with Board action to be taken at the October 8-9, 1992 meeting.

13. Approved Handbook Amendment, Intercollegiate Athletics

Approved an amendment to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10, Section 17.4.f(1) and (2), Policies Concerning University Intercollegiate Athletics, as contained in Ref. F, filed in the Regents' Office.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the Handbook amendment regarding Policies Concerning University Intercollegiate Athlet-

ics. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

14. Approved Handbook Amendment, Foundations Administrative and Accounting Guidelines

Approved an amendment to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10, Section 9, UCCSN and Member Institution Foundations Administrative and Accounting Guidelines, as contained in Ref. G, filed in the Regents' Office.

9.3 Expenditures of Grant Authorization

9.4 Expenditures of General Administration --

Chancellor Dawson recommends the deletion which calls for the Foundations to reimburse the institution for services rendered inasmuch as the Foundations receive certain funds and those funds should be used for the purposes for which they were given; i. e., scholarships, specific programs or projects, etc.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook amendment regarding UCCSN and Member Institution Foundations Administrative and Accounting Guidelines. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

The open meeting recessed at 4:55 P.M. and reconvened at 9:10

A.M. Friday, August 28, 1992 with all Regents present except Regent Berkley.

15. Report and Recommendations of the Research Affairs Committee

A report and recommendations of the Research Affairs Committee meeting, held August 28, 1992, were made by Regent Lonnie Hammargren, Chairman.

(1) Information Only: NSF/EPSCoR Report - Dr. David

Lightner, Project Director, presented the National Science Foundation/Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research in Nevada (NSF/EPSCoR) report to the Committee and distributed the "Final Report to the National Science Foundation" to members of the Board of Regents, filed in the Regents' Office.

Dr. Lightner reported that Nevada EPSCoR has been a success since its creation in 1986. Since then, nationally competitive scientists have increased 5-fold, \$3.9 million in new equipment has been added, federal and private funding has increased 5-fold,

yearly research productivity has increased 50%, and the number of graduate students in research has increased 3-fold.

Mrs. Gallagher expressed her pleasure with the progress of the EPSCoR program and highly commended Dr. Lightner for his efforts. President Maxson agreed with Mrs. Gallagher and stated that Dr. Lightner was the person who made the program such a success. Chancellor Dawson stated that the System owed Dr. Lightner much thanks for his endeavor with the EPSCoR program.

In response to the accolades, Dr. Lightner stated that he was pleased to be associated with the program and that he has worked with several outstanding persons who have donated much energy and time to the program; he thanked them.

- (2) Dr. David Mc Nelis, UNLV Associate Vice President for Research, presented the UCCSN Research Affairs Council's recommendations for the design of the Nevada Regents' Researcher Award medal, which was approved.

The actual size for the proposed medal is 2 inches in

diameter and 1/8 inches thick. The medal would be stamped using Nevada silver and the impression on the front side would be from the stamping. The reverse side, because it would include the awardee's name and the year of the award, would be engraved. The cost of purchasing in dozen lots would be approximately \$185 each.

(3) Information Only: New EPSCoR Grant - UCCSN has received a \$500,000 EPSCoR grant. Dr. David Mc Nelis will serve as the project director. Mr. Mc Nelis reported that the grant will provide training for 14 graduate students who will receive a stipend of approximately \$18,000 per year for two years. Their practicum will be performed at one of the national laboratories or Nevada facilities.

Dr. Mc Nelis reported that Nevada has received \$100,000 from the National Science Foundation to employ a state-wide director. A national search is in progress for the directorship and the Selection Committee has narrowed the application pool to 3 persons. The search committee will continue its deliberations and report back to the committee.

(4) Information Only: Announcement of Meetings - Dr. David

Mc Nelis announced that several national meetings will be held in the near future:

National NSF/EPSCoR Meeting in Las Vegas, NSF has provided \$150,000 to Nevada to sponsor the meeting in October.

NISET, NSF has provided \$10,000 to Nevada to sponsor the conference in November.

National Technical Innovating Meeting will be held September 3-4, 1992.

(5) Information Only: Future Activities - Dr. David Mc

Nelis stated that the UCCSN Research Affairs Council will begin the selection process for the Regents' Researcher Award.

Dr. David Mc Nelis stated that the UCCSN Research Affairs Council will be discussing a "Creativity Award" and will report back to the Committee at its October meeting.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Research Affairs Committee. Mrs. Whitley seconded.

Motion carried.

The open meeting recessed at 9:11 A.M. to move into committee meetings and reconvened at 9:50 A.M. Friday, August 28, 1992 with all Regents present.

16. Report and Recommendations of the Ad Hoc Committee on Ethnic Minority Affairs

A report and recommendations of the ad hoc Committee on Ethnic Minority Affairs meeting, held August 28, 1992, were made by Regent June Whitley, Chairman.

(1) Information Only: Statewide Blue Ribbon Task Force -

At its June meeting, the Committee voted to establish a Statewide Blue Ribbon Task Force composed of citizens of the State that will meet from time to time with UCCSN members of the ad hoc Committee and assist them in their work. Chairman Whitley presented a list of nominees to serve on the Statewide Task Force, filed in the Regents' Office. She requested that complete

address, title and phone number information be supplied to Mrs. Karen Steinberg, Director of Institutional Research, on those nominees with incomplete information.

(2) Information Only: Campus Ethnic Minority Affairs

Committees - Chairman Whitley stated that a video conference meeting with all the Chairmen of the institutions' Ethnic Minority Affairs Committees will be scheduled in October. Mrs. Karen Steinberg, Director of Institutional Research, requested Committee members to submit suggestions for agenda topics for the October meeting.

(3) Information Only: Future Activities - Chairman Whitley

stated that she will prepare an invitation in September to the nominees to serve on the Statewide Task Force. The October meeting with the institutions will address the mandate for the Statewide Task Force.

Chairman Whitley requested Committee members to submit ethnic minority issues to Mrs. Steinberg.

(4) New Business

A. Public - Mr. Jessie Sattwhite, Black Alumni Association President, addressed the Committee stating that UNR has consistently discriminated against former black athletes. He stated he had previously attended a meeting with Chancellor Mark Dawson and Regent Daniel J. Klaich in which various issues were discussed. Mr. Sattwhite informed the Committee that there is a high percentage of black athletes who do not graduate after they lose their playing eligibility. He has requested financial assistance for two former athletes and stated that President Crowley had reneged on the assistance.

President Crowley stated that UNR belongs to the National Consortium for Academics and Sports, which aids all returning athletes. He stated that UNR has graduated several former athletes who have participated in this program. President Crowley requested Mr. Sattwhite to supply him with the names of the two former athletes and if they should meet the criteria for the program he would grant them financial assistance. Mr. Sattwhite did not respond to President Crowley's request and threatened to picket the first home football game.

Dr. Hammargren requested the criteria for the program to aid returning athletes be sent to all the members of the Board of Regents.

Mr. Foley requested Chancellor Dawson to contact Mr. Sattwhite to discuss the issue and try to resolve the matter in a diplomatic way. Mrs. Sparks suggested that a current black athlete also contact Mr. Sattwhite to request that the football game not be picketed. President Crowley stated that he would be available to discuss this issue at any time.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the report and recommendations of the ad hoc Committee on Ethnic Minority Affairs. Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

The open meeting recessed at 9:51 A.M. to move into committee meetings and reconvened at 10:40 A.M. Friday, August 28, 1992, with all Regents present.

17. Report and Recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee

A report and recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee meeting, held August 28, 1992, were made by Regent Jill Derby, Chairman.

- (1) Approved the change in the degree title of an existing program from the B. S. in Radiologic Technology with a major in Health Physics to the B. S. in Health Physics at UNLV, as contained in Ref. AA-1, filed in the Regents' Office.

This program is currently one of 4 major concentrations under the B. S. in Radiologic Technology. However, the Health Physics major now differs from the other 3 concentrations, as it focuses on science and mathematics-based coursework, rather than solely on medical imaging technologies. In order to bring the program into compliance with national academic guidelines of the Health Physics Society, it is recommended that the program be separate from the Department of Radiological Sciences.

No modifications in the existing curriculum are required. There is no financial impact associated with this proposed change.

(2) Information Only: Time Frames for Campus Master Planning Documents - Current Board of Regents' policy calls for the following time frames to be used in master planning documents:

Community Colleges: 4 years, with updates every

2 years

Universities : 10 years, with updates every

5 years

Desert Research : 5 years

A discussion was held concerning amending the policy to shorten these time frames in order to respond to the changing needs of the Campuses and to the time schedule of the legislative planning report. Vice Chancellor John Richardson suggested that a 4-year plan should be implemented for UCCSN, and in order to provide a comprehensive plan the following documents should be in place:

System Mission Statement

Strategic Directions

Institutional Mission Statements

Institutional Academic Master Plans

These 4 documents will also aid in the budget planning process particularly in stating new priorities for UCCSN.

He reviewed and discussed the documents contained in Ref. AA-2, filed in the Regents' Office. He stated that UCCSN has made tremendous progress in the last 1 1/2 years and commended the System. If the Committee is in agreement with his proposed plan, he will continue to work on the process and report back to the Committee in October.

Mrs. Gallagher commended Chairman Derby on her efforts towards the development of the System Academic Master Plan and stated that she felt Dr. Richardson is a great addition to UCCSN.

President Calabro stated that he has worked both at the System level and the institutional level, and felt that there is a need for sensitivity of the System requests which the proposed plan seems to address. A systematic approach is needed so that institutions can schedule their planning activities.

Vice Chancellor Richardson stated that Ref. AA-2 contained a draft of the System Mission Statement and requested the Committee to review the statement and submit comments to his office. Chairman Derby stated that the Board of Regents should be involved in the drafting of this statement and requested that the statement be placed on the Board of Regents' agenda in November for consideration.

(3) Information Only: Report on Academic Affairs Committee

Retreat - An academic planning retreat was held on July 21, 1992 which focused on UCCSN academic issues, with a special emphasis on the development of new programs at the Campuses and program review activities.

At the retreat Chairman Jill Derby gave an overview of the UCCSN academic planning process, relating that in November, 1991 at the Regents' Workshop, a session was held on planning which resulted in the publication of the "UCCSN Strategic Directions". She stated that this retreat is another step in that planning process.

Representatives from each institution reported on their

internal planning process: Dr. Bob Hoover, UNR; Dr. John Unrue, UNLV; Dr. Bill Bishop, DRI; Dr. Herb Peebles, CCSN; Mr. Bill Bonaudi, NNCC; Dr. John Scally, TMCC; Dr. Lori Peterson, WNCC; and Mrs. Karen Steinberg UCCSN.

Those in attendance at the retreat were divided into discussion groups. The morning session dealt with the question, "What will be the impact of budget cuts on new programs?" At lunchtime, each group reported on their discussions. The afternoon session dealt with program review, and again, each group reported.

Each group chose a representative to serve on a sub-committee with Mrs. Steinberg to compile data and prepare a report for the Committee to be presented at the October Board of Regents' meeting.

- (4) Information Only: Academic Symposium - One of the recommendations from the academic planning retreat was to hold a statewide symposium on education. Chairman Derby suggested that the public sector be invited to submit its input on higher education by possibly conducting a survey or publishing a newspaper advertise-

ment requesting input.

Mrs. Berkley stated that it is important for the System to interact with the public sector. UCCSN must get its message across to the citizens of Nevada that higher education is a partnership with government and business and industry.

Mr. Klaich responded by stating that the institutions already have strong community relation efforts going on, such as advisory boards consisting of the public sector, and the Leadership Forum that is conducted every year by UNR.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the report and recommendation of the Academic Affairs Committee. Dr. Hammargren seconded. Motion carried.

18. Report and Recommendation of the Audit Committee

A report and recommendations of the Audit Committee meeting, held August 26, 1992, were made by Regent Dorothy Gallagher, Chairman.

(1) Mr. Bill Hartman, Mr. Glen Storer and Mr. Dennis Gauger of Deloitte & Touche discussed with the Committee the pre-audit planning conference for the June 30, 1992 financial statements.

The representatives of Deloitte & Touche, external auditing firm, have met with Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal, Internal Audit staff, and institution controllers to discuss the planned audit. Mr. Storer stated that the final field work will begin in the South on August 31, 1992 and in the North in September 8, 1992. The consolidated financial statements will be completed and reported at the December, 1992 Board of Regents' Audit Committee meeting; the individual Campus audits will be completed and reported at the January, 1993 Board of Regents' Audit Committee meeting; and the report on federal grants and contracts will be reported in the Spring of 1993.

Mr. Storer stated that he welcomed the opportunity to hear from this Committee on either further directions or questions regarding the auditing procedures.

Dr. Eardley requested that the external auditing team

make a formal presentation on the auditing procedure to the full Board, and Chairman Gallagher added that an internal auditing presentation be also made.

- (2) Approved the extension of the external auditor contract Deloitte & Touche for 2 years with an annual review.

Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal stated that Deloitte & Touche were awarded a 3-year contract with a 3-year option to renew. She has met with the institution controllers and General Counsel Klasic to discuss the possibility of retaining Deloitte & Touche due to the newly established on-line financial accounting system, College and University Financial System (CUFS). The controllers were all in agreement to retain their services for up to 2 years. Mrs. Cardinal suggested extending the contract for 2 years and then going to bid for external auditing services.

Chairman Gallagher posed the question of extending the contract for 1 year with a review of services at the end of the year, and Mr. Storer stated that Deloitte & Touche has considered that option and would be willing to serve in that capacity.

Chairman Gallagher questioned if it was ethical to have the Selection Committee members be those employees who are the ones responsible for the institutional audits.

She suggested that members of the Board of Regents should serve on the Selection Committee. Mr. Hartman stated that it was not unusual for the Selection Committee members be those who are directly involved in the audit process, because they are usually the most knowledgeable of that process. Mrs. Cardinal added that in the past the Selection Committee presented 3 recommendations to the Audit Committee, who then made the final selection.

Mr. Hartman expressed his appreciation for the Committee's vote of confidence and stated that Deloitte & Touche will continue to improve its services to UCCSN. He invited the Committee to call the firm with any questions or concerns.

- (3) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the CCSN Health Sciences Center, July 1, 1991 through January 31, 1992. The audit report is filed in the Regents' Office.

Mr. Herb Peebles, CCSN Vice President of Academic Affairs, stated that Dr. Berg was not employed at the beginning of the audit process, but since his employment many issues have already been addressed.

- (4) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the BCN Professional Food-Service Management, September 1, 1990 through August 31, 1991. The audit report is filed in the Regents' Office.

Mr. Tom Judy, UNR Controller, stated that UNR had requested this audit because UNR is approaching the time to begin the bid process in awarding the food service contract for next Fall, which services UNR, TMCC and the University Inn.

Mrs. Whitley suggested that it be made mandatory that the food service only provide services when either a Limited Purchase Order or Purchase Order is submitted prior to the event. However, Mrs. Cardinal stated that there may be rare emergencies that Departments could not abide by that suggestion and there should be some flexibility.

(5) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the UNR Grants and Contracts, July 1, 1990 through September 30, 1991. The audit report is filed in the Regents' Office.

(6) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the UNR Associated Students of the University of Nevada, Reno, July 1, 1990 through February 29, 1992. The audit report is filed in the Regents' Office.

(7) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the UNLV Office of Undergraduate Admissions, Fall 1991. The audit report is filed in the Regents' Office.

After a lengthy discussion on tentative admissions, Chairman Gallagher suggested that UCCSN's policy on tentative admissions should be reviewed and directed Senior Internal Auditor John Love to coordinate the efforts.

(8) Information Only: Internal Audit Director Sandi

Cardinal presented the follow-up report of the UNLV CSUN, July 1, 1990 through May 31, 1991. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents' Office.

Mrs. Whitley suggested that the Business Centers (North and South) orient the student organization managers after each turnover in student government.

(9) New Business - Chancellor Dawson announced that Mrs. Sandi Cardinal has been appointed as Project Director of the Human Resource system that is coming on line for all institutions. Mr. John Love will perform the responsibilities of serving as staff to the Audit Committee.

Chairman Gallagher welcomed Mr. Love and extended her appreciation to Mrs. Cardinal for all her service to the Audit Committee. Mrs. Whitley stated that she has worked with Mrs. Cardinal for several years and extended her appreciation for her efficient work to the Committee.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the audit reports and recommendations made to the Audit Committee. Dr. Derby second-

ed. Motion carried.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval to extend the contract to Deloitte & Touche for 2 years with an annual review in selecting external auditors. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

19. Report and Recommendations of the Status of Women Committee

A report and recommendations of the Status of Women Committee meeting held August 27, 1992 were made by Regent Jill Derby, Chairman.

(1) Information Only: Student Surveys - Chairman Derby

stated that the upcoming year will be dedicated to student issues. Ms. Jill Winter, UNR Service Center for Applied Research, discussed the proposed student survey instrument. She suggested that a random mailing to female students be implemented and was hopeful that the process would be completed by the end of the Spring 1993 semester.

(2) Information Only: Educational Efforts - Chairman Derby

stated that a recommendation for educational efforts on

the part of the institutions was passed late last Spring, and noted that the institutions probably have not had much time to address this issue. She requested an update from the institutions on their progress. The institution Presidents or their representatives proceeded to report on progress each institution has made in their education efforts on sexual harassment.

Chairman Derby noted that one of the problems at the institutions was with communicating to the students how to deal with sexual harassment, and she suggested that some ways to reach students might include placing posters throughout the Campus, holding programs with residential life and sororities, placing advertisements in the student newspapers, and sponsoring an "Awareness Week" around the issue of sexual harassment.

(3) Information Only: November Workshop - Plans for the workshop on sexual harassment to be held on Friday morning, November 20, 1992 during the Regents' meeting are under way.

(4) Information Only: Status of Handbook and UCCSN Code Changes - Chairman Derby stated that a summary of

the status of the changes to the Handbook and UCCSN Code was prepared by General Counsel Don Klasic and Research Analyst Tamela Gorden and was sent to the Campus Advisory Committee Chairs and the members of the Regents' Committee on Status of Women. Copies will be sent to the remaining Regents.

Mrs. Berkley moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Status of Women Committee. Mr. Klaich seconded. Motion carried.

The open meeting recessed at 10:55 A.M. to move into committee meetings and reconvened at 11:40 A.M. Friday, August 28, 1991 with all Regents present.

20. Report and Recommendations of the Legislative Liaison Committee

A report and recommendations of the Legislative Liaison Committee meeting, held August 28, 1992, were made by Regent Shelley Berkley, Chairman.

(1) Information Only: Planned Campus Legislative Activities - Presidents and/or their representatives reported

on legislative liaison activities which have been planned for the Fall, up to the convening of the 1993 Nevada State Legislature.

Chairman Berkley requested that all correspondence and schedule of meetings between institutions and Legislators or key government officials be submitted to Ms. Pamela Galloway, Director of Public Information. Campus representatives agreed to forward all such correspondence so a master calendar can be compiled. Mrs. Sparks requested that all correspondence be copied to her and Chairman Berkley by Ms. Galloway.

(2) Information Only: Report on Legislative Communications Network - Dr. Bob Dickens, UNR Director of Government Relations, presented a progress report on the legislative communications network efforts. He has been working closely with a graduate student technician in developing a software application that will bridge the system mailing lists with the various Senate/Assembly districts. Dr. Dickens stated that during the month of October he will train selected Campus personnel throughout the System on the network program.

(3) New Business - Chairman Berkley stated that it was imperative that the Campuses keep Ms. Galloway informed on all institution legislative activities.

Chairman Berkley stated that she will schedule a meeting in September with the Campuses' Legislative Network to discuss legislative issues, and will report back to the Legislative Liaison Committee in October.

Chairman Berkley stated that the months of November and December are very important to the legislative mission. During that time the institutions must externalize their efforts, and she suggested that PBS advertisements would be an excellent source to communicate to the citizens of Nevada the needs of higher education. Meetings with service clubs would be another good source of communication.

President Meacham suggested that the Reno and Las Vegas Chambers of Commerce be contacted to see if they will be sponsoring meetings as in the past, during which the northern legislative representatives meet in Las Vegas with the community and southern Legislators meet

in Reno.

Chairman Berkley suggested that since voter registration is low, institutions may want to sponsor voter registration on their Campuses, such as CCSN has done during this last year.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Legislative Liaison Committee. Mr. Klaich seconded.

Motion carried.

The open meeting recessed at 11:45 A.M. to move into the TMCC Foundation meeting and reconvened at 11:46 A.M. Friday, August 28, 1992 with all Regents present.

21. Approved Report on Legislative Commission Investigation

The Board heard a report from General Counsel Klastic on the Legislative Commission Investigation and approved forwarding UCCSN documents to the Commission as soon as the legalities are met.

A. Release of Close Meeting Minutes

The Open Meeting Law states that:

"Minutes of meetings closed pursuant to NRS 241.030 become public records when the body determines that the matters discussed no longer require confidentiality and the person whose character, conduct, competence or health was discussed has consented to their disclosure ..."

Richard Wright, attorney for the UCCSN in the Legislative Commission investigation, requests that the Board agree to the disclosure to the Commission's investigators of the minutes of the Board's June 3, 1991 closed meeting with Jerry Tarkanian and the minutes of any subsequent closed meetings of the Board regarding the resignation of Mr. Tarkanian, the acceptance of that resignation and related events subsequent to the resignation, subject to the consent of persons discussed in the meeting(s) to such disclosure or deletion of their identities in the event of such disclosure, as well as subject to Mr. Wright's best professional judgement that such disclosure would not injure the UCCSN's legal interests.

B. Status of Chancellor's Report to the Legislative

Commission

At its June 9, 1992 special meeting, the Board authorized the Chancellor to compile and forward information to the Legislative Commission regarding the resignation of Jerry Tarkanian, the acceptance of that resignation and related events subsequent to the resignation as well as any additional information requested from the Chancellor by the Legislative Commission. There will be a status report on this matter and any additional action the Board deems necessary may be considered and taken.

General Counsel Klasic reviewed activities to date:

The Legislative Commission had obtained two attorneys, Mr. Lewis Palazzo and Mr. Robert Murdock, to handle the investigation for no fee. An August 18, 1992 news article announced their resignation from the case because of their concern that the "subjects of the investigation might try to take legal action" against them. In the same article they accused the University of stalling their inquiry.

In a news article on August 27, 1992, Mr. Palazzo indicated that "people from the University faction" suggested the attorneys would be sued when the Commission began issuing subpoenas.

In that same article Mr. James Mc Gaughey, an Assemblyman and member of the Commission who was taking over the Chairmanship of the investigation from Senator Vergiels, related that the University was stonewalling and withholding the report.

The University has prepared reports, which have not been released because of legal aspects:

- 1) Mr. Tarkanian has not yet given a release from the civility clause in his resignation contract in which the University pledged not to make negative comments against Mr. Tarkanian. General Counsel Klasic indicated that Mr. Tarkanian has been violating that provision since about February, 1992. The University has hired Mr. Richard Wright to handle this Commission investigation.

2) There are Federal privacy statutes involved concerning residency of a woman basketball player whom the University has not been able to contact because she is now overseas. Without that release, the Buckley Act becomes an important factor in the case.

On July 8, 1992, in a telephone conversation between Mr. Palazzo and Mr. Murdock and General Counsel Klasic and Mr. Bradley Booke, UCCSN Assistant General Counsel, General Counsel Klasic suggested and encouraged that the Commission issue subpoenas because providing information under a subpoena conveys a privilege; therefore the University could not be sued. Also discussed were:

1) A request that the Commission attorneys should send an official notice of the complaint, the parameters of the investigation, and any deadlines. The Commission attorneys asked whether General Counsel Klasic had not read the newspapers for this information.

2) A request for information on how the interviews

would be held, with the Commission attorneys indicating they did not wish to have attorneys present, nor did they wish to have transcripts made of the interviews.

General Counsel Klasic pointed out that this manner of holding interviews was the exact way the NCAA conducted investigations, and it is the way the Nevada Supreme Court in Tarkanian vs. NCAA declared that was a violation of due process of law. It is also the method which the Nevada Legislature decided was contrary to public policy so a law was passed against it.

July 8, 1992, after the above conversation, General Counsel Klasic telephoned Mr. Wright to inform him that he was to cooperate fully with Mr. Palazzo and Mr. Murdock. On that same date, Mr. Wright contacted the two attorneys, related that information, and set up a meeting for the next day.

July 9, 1992, Mr. Wright met with Mr. Palazzo and Mr. Murdock, pledged cooperation and again explained that the University report was not yet forwarded because of possible legal action by persons concerned who must

give a release. Again, the two attorneys indicated they did not want to subpoena someone and face a law suit by UNLV. Mr. Wright assured them that UNLV intended to cooperate, not litigate. They also indicated to Mr. Wright that they had no rules for the investigation, and that whatever information they gathered would be made public.

The video tapes of the basketball practice at UNLV in October 1991 were delivered to Mr. Murdock and Mr. Palazzo on July 14, 1992. These tapes had been made public in 1991 and were made available to a number of newspapers across the country. Mr. Roy Smith, attorney for Mr. Tim Grgurich, who is a former coach at UNLV and who has filed a law suit against the University, wrote Mr. Wright stating that future dissemination of the tapes would cause a suit for damages or injunction relief against dissemination. General Counsel Klasic indicated that if that information is disseminated or made public by the Commission's attorneys, it could be the cause for their concern over law suits by "University factions", although that would not be from the University itself.

On July 23, 1992, Mr. Wright sent a letter to Mr. Chuck Thompson, Mr. Tarkanian's attorney, requesting that he release the University from suit over information the University might release to the Commission concerning Coach Tarkanian, and/or Richard Perry.

On July 24, 1992, Mr. Thompson replied with a limit to that release, which agreed only to the release of information to the Commission, but not to anyone else. That was not agreeable to the University inasmuch as the Commission, and the two attorneys, have already stated they would make all information public. If the Commission released information on Mr. Tarkanian, the University could be sued.

On July 31, 1992, Mr. Bruce Judd, Mr. Wright's partner, wrote Mr. Thompson asking for reconsideration of the release.

On July 28, 1992, Mr. Murdock contacted Mr. Judd and asked when the University would release its information, with the reply that the University was dealing with the privacy statute that his client, the Legislature, had enacted, that the University intended to cooperate,

but it was important to clear up the legal questions.

On August 4, 1992, another news article indicated that Mr. Murdock and Mr. Palazzo had made an agreement with the Tarkanian supporters, and announced they would like a "simultaneous delivery of documents" on the part of everyone who has documents to turn in. However, this was only announced in the press; nothing was received by the University in writing or verbally.

On August 13, 1992, Ms. Katherine Freeburg, attorney in the firm of Giles and Burkhalter, who also represent Mr. Tarkanian, called to discuss the release from Mr. Tarkanian. Mr. Wright explained why the University wanted a general release rather than a limited release, and asked Ms. Freeburg to submit a draft document.

Nothing had been received at the time of this report.

In answer to a question, General Counsel Klasic explained that he did not know whether Ms. Freeburg was replacing Mr. Thompson in this matter.

On August 18, 1992, the newspaper carried the item that Mr. Murdock and Mr. Palazzo had resigned from the Commission investigation.

On August 21, 1992, Mr. Kurt Lenhard, attorney representing the University in the law suit filed by Mr. Grgurich, received a letter from Mr. Roy Smith concerning this item (25) on the Regents' August meeting agenda.. Mr. Smith, on behalf of this client, objected to the release of the closed session minutes.

The University has the following reports prepared and ready for release once the legal ramifications are met:

Tarkanian resignation - 23 pages, with 41 exhibits consisting of approximately 625 pages.

Alleged ticket scalping - 9 pages. However, awaiting further exhibits from a private attorney representing a party involved.

UNLV Foundation - 6 pages, with approximately 250 pages of exhibits.

Mr. Melvin Love, student - 3 pages.

Tuition/residency issue - 5 pages.

Video taping - 8 pages.

Before the documents can be released, the following are needed:

- 1) Mr. Tarkanian's consent relative to any information pertaining to the resignation agreement.

- 2) Student's consent on the residency/tuition issue.

- 3) Regents' consent to release the minutes of the June 3, 1991 personnel session. Also, consents from any person(s) who may have been discussed in those minutes. An alternative, is to delete the name of the person(s) discussed.

Senator Vergiels resigned as Chairman of the Legislative Commission Investigation Committee. Assemblyman Mc Gaughey, the new Chairman, has indicated in a newspaper interview that he would issue subpoenas. The University is not opposed to this.

Mr. Foley stated that he felt the June 3, 1991 executive session was an investigation into the Tarkanian resignation. He agreed to releasing the minutes of that meeting if it would not violate the Open Meeting Law. General Counsel Klasic agreed that the only way those minutes could be released, even if a subpoena was served, was to comply with the statute, namely, the consent of the Board, and the consent of those involved in the minutes. General Counsel Klasic explained that Item 25 A, which deals with the release of the minutes, contains safeguards: release the minutes "subject to the consent of the persons discussed in the meeting(s) to such disclosure, or deletion of their identities in the event of such disclosure, as well as subject to Mr. Wright's best professional judgement that such disclosure wouldn't injure the UCCSN's legal interests." General Counsel Klasic added that he would interpret that as not only the institution, UCCSN, but the members of the Board individually and officially.

Mr. Foley moved that the Board obtain the release of the June 3, 1991 closed session investigative meeting held by the Board, and at which Mr. Tarkanian and his 3 attorneys were present. Mr. Klaich seconded.

Mr. Foley added to the motion the phrase, "subject to the conditions indicated in Item 25 A of the agenda of the Board meeting of August 27-28, 1992". Mr. Klaich agreed.

Motion carried without dissent.

Mr. Klaich moved that General Counsel memorialize in writing to the Commission that subpoenas be issued on this matter for the purpose not in hindering the investigation, but to aid in the investigation. Mrs. Gallagher seconded.

Mrs. Berkley stated she was concerned with the negative press the University and the Regents have been receiving and questioned whether something could not be done about it.

General Counsel Klasic stated that the University and the Regents are the victims of their own good faith effort to comply with the Commission's requests.

Motion carried without dissent.

Chairman Sparks asked that a summary of General Counsel Klasic's remarks be prepared for distribution to the press, or to anyone who might wish it.

Mr. Klaich contended that since the University had not received a specificity of charges, the Commission should be given whatever information we have and let them ask questions. General Counsel Klasic agreed, but added that a message needs to be delivered that these people are dealing with the lives, reputations and careers of people and they can't afford to "slough off" due process of law and destroy people arbitrarily. He stated that if one is going to play this very serious game, then it had better be played seriously.

Mr. Klaich agreed, and added that was exactly the reason the Board has handled this matter as it has -- to "protect individuals, from Mr. Tarkanian right on down".

Dr. Hammargren stated he was an advocate of releasing information and suggested that all information concerning the sports program at UNLV be released.

Dr. Hammargren moved that the Board disclose the events subsequent to the resignation and the closed meetings of the Board, subject to the safeguards provided in Item 25 of the Board of Regents' agenda for the meeting of August 27-28, 1992.. Mr. Klaich seconded. Motion carried without dis-

sent.

There arose a question of whether the information, once turned over to the Legislative Commission, would become public. General Counsel Klasic stated that he did not know, that during the course of an investigation, information gathered in the investigation may be kept confidential, but once the investigation is over, it probably does become public.

The open meeting recessed at 12:40 P.M. for lunch and reconvened at 1:25 P.M. Friday, August 28, 1992 with all Regents present.

22. Information Only: Land Acquisition in Clark County

Chancellor Dawson gave a status report on the proposed land acquisition in Clark County, Ref. H is filed in the Regents' Office.

In addition, an accounting of the amount and purpose of the sums expended to date on the proposed land acquisition in Clark County was given, as contained in Ref. I and an additional document which was distributed at the meeting.

The document contains the breakdown of expenditures for the

Land Study, UNLV/CCSN by Robert Mc Caffery.

Mrs. Gallagher questioned how the \$10,000 that was given by the System was used, and Dr. Hammargren responded that it was used for a model of the property that was presented to the Regents, and for mailings and publicity to raise support for the project. Chairman Sparks requested that a list of these expenditures be submitted to Chancellor Dawson.

Mr. Klaich questioned if the Board should continue pursuing this venture, and Dr. Hammargren distributed a letter dated August 29, 1992 from Mr. Robert Mc Caffery which explains the litigation status of the property and recommends that an Executive Committee be established by President Maxson to review and expand upon the "land use plan".

President Maxson stated that this issue must be resolved. He explained that UNLV is certainly interested in any land that is available, however, a letter dated July 1, 1992 from the Clark County Commission stated that Clark County will not be releasing the land at this time. He felt that the formation of a committee would demonstrate a "hostile take over" and indicated that UNLV is not interested in expending additional funding on this issue at this time.

President Maxson suggested that UNLV would contact the Clark County Commission to request that if the land would become available in the future to keep UNLV in mind for further negotiations.

23. Approved Campus Role and Mission Statements

Approved the role and mission statements on DRI, UNLV and UNR. Vice Chancellor Richardson explained that these documents would be contained in the Academic Plans and would be subject to further review. The report, "Strategic Directions for the University and Community College System of Nevada", approved by the Board of Regents in January, 1992, identified the review and revision of role and mission statements as a first priority in systemwide academic planning efforts.

The statements for the Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas and the University of Nevada, Reno are contained in Ref. J, filed in the Regents' Office (UNR submitted a revised document at the meeting).

Mrs. Gallagher moved to accept the role and mission statements of DRI, UNLV and UNR. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion

carried.

24. Approved Board of Regents' Organization Review Plans

At its June meeting the Board discussed addressing "...issues of concern regarding its governing process by undertaking a review of its manner of operating as an elected Board, as well as its relation to the staff designated to serve the Board." The Board unanimously agreed to appoint a committee consisting of the Chairman of the Board, Vice Chairman of the Board, Regent Derby, and the Chancellor "... to develop a plan as to how the Board should proceed on the organizational review and report back to the Board at the next regularly scheduled meeting with input from other Board members."

Vice Chairman Eardley, Regent Derby, the Chancellor, and key staff members met on July 9 and prepared the following recommendations for the Board's consideration:

- A. That the Board Chairman, Vice Chairman, Regent Derby, Director of Community College Affairs Burris, and Vice Chancellor Richardson prepare a relatively brief questionnaire for distribution to Regents, Presidents, and

Board executive staff. The questionnaire will give each respondent an opportunity to pinpoint his/her specific areas of concern. Replies will be anonymous.

B. Mr. Burris and Dr. Richardson will use the questionnaire replies as the basis for conducting focused interviews with all individuals in the above groups. The interviews will seek to more clearly identify areas in which respondents feel Board and staff performance might be improved and how that might be accomplished. They will then prepare a report summarizing their findings and recommendations for review by Board members and the Chancellor. It is felt that Mr. Burris and Dr. Richardson are particularly well-suited to conduct such an analysis and to prepare recommendations for consideration given the fresh perspective they bring to the System coupled with their considerable experience working successfully with multi-Campus governing boards in other states.

It is further requested that the Board contract with a conflict resolution team to work with them.

C. The Board should proceed to plan a December Board work-

shop with a mentor from the Association of Governing Boards on the general subject "Improving Board Effectiveness". Part of the AGB process will involve completion of an AGB prepared Board self-study questionnaire.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of recommendations A, B and C to aid in the Board of Regents' Organization Review of Plans.

Mrs. Berkley seconded.

In regard to recommendation B, Rutgers University has a well-known conflict resolution team that would be available for a 1-2 day workshop. After some discussion, it was decided that the Rutgers' team could meet on November 18, prior to the November Board of Regents' meeting.

Chairman Sparks stated that the Rutgers' conflict resolution team would certainly charge a fee to work with UCCSN, and she questioned why the UNR conflict resolution team was not being considered. Dr. Derby responded that UNR's program is very new, whereas Rutgers' conflict resolution team is very well established. However, UNR's team could be considered. She felt that this process would be on a short-term basis and did not wish to wait until November to meet with this team.

Chancellor Dawson stated that during initial contact with Rutgers University, he has learned that they would charge \$1000 per team member, per day, plus expenses.

Chairman Sparks indicated that by holding the session at the November meeting, the newly elected Regents would be able to attend.

Mrs. Gallagher stated that she had no problems with any of the recommendations, although she felt that the Board should discuss the problems within the boundaries of the System before going outside. She suggested working with Vice Chancellor Richardson and Mr. Burris, and if that does not resolve the problem, then contact other sources.

Dr. Derby stated that the survey to be prepared by Vice Chancellor Richardson and Mr. Burris would establish the perception of the problems, whereas the conflict resolution team would provide a professional guide for the System to resolve tensions.

Mrs. Gallagher questioned if the Rutgers team would overlap the AGB mentor program, and Dr. Derby responded in the

negative.

Motion carried.

25. Approved Sale of Land, UNLV Foundation

Approved the use of Regents' Special Project Funds for payment of the inquiry into the sale of land by the UNLV Foundation as requested by the Board at its June 1992 meeting.

Mr. Klaich moved approval to use Regents' Special Project Funds for payment of the inquiry into the sale of land by the UNLV Foundation. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

26. Information Only: Ely Facility, NNCC

President Remington presented a progress report on the proposed facility at Ely and stated that NNCC had applied for a Federal grant to establish a facility and that matching funds were needed.

President Remington introduced Mrs. Jeanne Blach, President of NNCC Foundation, and Margaret Bath, member of the NNCC Foundation. Mrs. Blach explained that NNCC felt it was a

good time to explore the possibilities of establishing a higher education facility in Ely and applied for a Federal matching grant. On July 8, the campaign was initialized and NNCC received the following pledges:

\$895,000 from Economic Development Authority (EDA)

\$150,000 from Capital Improvement Funds

\$310,000 from NNCC Foundation

\$268,000 from White Pine County and the City of Ely

\$ 20,000 from Mt. Wheeler Power Company

In essence, \$813,000 was raised by the time the grant was approved.

The facility is now entering into Phase II of the project which will consist of a learning resource center, distance learning television reception and programs, etc. Mrs.

Blach stated that she will continue her efforts in developing this facility.

Mrs. Bath stated \$821,000 was raised during a 4-month period for this facility. Education is an integral part of life in the small rural community of Ely and may provide economic development potential for the community. She too will con-

tinue her efforts in supporting this endeavor.

Mrs. Gallagher expressed her appreciation to Mrs. Blach, Mrs. Bath, and the citizens of Ely for their support of this facility.

Regents Derby, Foley, Klaich and Whitley left the meeting.

27. Approved Cloud Seeding Program, DRI

Approved to seek funding from the Interim Finance Committee on DRI's Cloud Seeding Program.

The cloud seeding funds to be released for expenditure by Interim Finance were included in the University Budget as previously approved by the Board of Regents. The legislative finance committees chose to have this budget item brought before Interim Finance for approval contingent upon the projected need for cloud seeding as determined in consultation with the area water masters and climatologists.

Dr. Eardley moved approval to seek funding from the Interim Finance committee on DRI's Cloud Seeding Program. Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

Regents Derby and Klaich returned to the meeting.

28. Approved Handbook Change, Early High School Admission

Policy, Community Colleges

Approved an amendment to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 16

(III), Section 4, Community Colleges, Early High School

Admission Policy.

A. UCCSN Student Affairs Council requests an addition to

Title 4, Chapter 16(III) by adding Section 4, as fol-

lows:

Section 4. Community College Early Admissions and

Enrollment Policy for High School Students

1. High school juniors and seniors, at least fifteen years of age, may be admitted and enroll for a maximum of six credits per semester or summer session, with permission of their high school principal or designated official.

2. High school juniors and seniors, identified as

Vocational Program Completers may be admitted and enroll in more than six credits per semester, based on written, articulated occupational program agreements with designated school districts.

3. High school students below junior level when identified as academically talented by the school district and recommended by the high school principal or designated official, will be reviewed on a case by case basis for enrollment status in credit courses. Otherwise, high school students below the junior level may enroll for zero (0) credits in Community Services courses only.

B. With the addition of A. above, a deletion in each Community College section is necessary, as found in Ref. K, filed in the Regents' Office.

1) CCSN

Remove Section 3(1) and (2).

Renumber Sections 4 through 9 as Sections 3 through 8, respectively.

2) NNCC

Remove Section 4(1) and (2).

Re-number Sections 5 through 10 as Sections 4 through 9, respectively.

3) TMCC

Remove Section 4(1) and (2).

Re-number Sections 5 through 10 as Sections 4 through 9, respectively.

4) WNCC

Remove Section 3(1) and (2)

Re-number Sections 4 through 8 as Sections 4 through 7, respectively.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the Handbook change regarding Community Colleges, Early High School Admission Policy. Dr. Hammargren seconded. Motion carried.

Mrs. Whitley returned to the meeting.

29. Approved Financing of Capital Construction Projects, UNLV

Approved the three capital construction projects at UNLV presented by President Maxson and Vice President Buster Neel with the understanding that UNLV will contact appropriate firms and UCCSN Bond Counsel on how best to proceed under market conditions and return to the Board with recommendations. These projects would require authorization from the Interim Finance Committee and the State Public Works Board.

A. Construction of a Student Services Building with short-term financing. This loan would not exceed \$3,000,000, and retirement of this debt would come from pledged gifts from the Newmont Mining Company and the Reynolds Corporation, and Capital Improvement Fee Funds.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the construction of a UNLV Student Services building with short-term financing and that it be presented to the Interim Finance Committee and State Public Works Board for authorization. Dr. Hammargren seconded. Motion carried.

B. An addition to the UNLV Student Health Center with a

loan not to exceed \$750,000. Retirement of this debt would come from revenues generated by the UNLV Student Health Fee.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval of an addition to the UNLV Student Health Center, and that it be presented to the Interim Finance Committee and State Public Works Board for authorization. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

C. UNLV has the opportunity to acquire 17.65 acres and 280 rental units directly north of and contiguous to the Campus. This property has been appraised at \$9,850,000 and will be used for Greek housing, married student housing, visiting professor housing and general rentals. The long-term benefit to UNLV is the 17.65 acres. President Maxson requests approval of the following:

- 1) Authorization to purchase the property at a price not to exceed \$8,000,000;

- 2) Authorization to utilize approximately \$3,500,000 in bond proceeds which were originally earmarked for Greek housing; and

3) Authorization to obtain financing not to exceed \$5,500,000 for the purchase and upgrade of the property.

Vice President Neel distributed the "Financial Plan for University Park Apartment Purchase", which is filed in the Regents' Office. President Maxson stated that UNLV will discontinue its plans for constructing Greek housing, however, UNLV will continue working with the Greek organizations and give them preferential treatment in renting apartments from this facility.

CSUN President Joel Kostman stated that the UNLV student body supports this endeavor.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval to acquire 17.65 acres and 280 rental units directly north of and contiguous to the UNLV Campus, and that it be presented to the Interim Finance Committee and State Public Works Board for authorization. Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

30. Approved Use of Capital Improvement Fee Funds, UNLV

Approved authorization to use \$430,000 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at UNLV for the following projects:

Continue the lease of approximately \$230,000

30 trailers on the UNLV Campus. These units are required for classroom, laboratory, and office space until permanent facilities are completed.

Develop addition parking areas for the \$200,000

Campus. This space has become necessary due to Campus growth and the addition of several buildings on the Campus.

Mr. Klaich moved approval to use \$430,000 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at UNLV for the above mentioned projects. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

31. Approved Use of Capital Improvement Fee Funds, TMCC

Approved authorization to use \$113,089 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at TMCC for the following projects:

Surface Water Rights \$ 4,089

| | |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Parking Lot Improvements | 30,000 |
| Security Improvements | 25,000 |
| Phase V Landscaping | 54,000 |

Dr. Derby moved approval to use \$113,089 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at TMCC for the above mentioned projects.

Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

32. Approved Increase of Scope of Phase 4, Cheyenne Campus, CCSN

Approved to increase the scope of Phase 4 at the Cheyenne Campus of CCSN to include the remaining alternates (4, 5 and 6) to complete the project (91-C5).

In addition, approved to use up to \$200,000 from the Student Government Building Fund established through a student fee increase to assist in the funding of Phase 4.

The Student Government at CCSN has approved the use of these funds.

Authorization to seek approval from the Interim Finance Committee and the State Public Works Board was also given.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval to increase the scope of Phase 4 at the CCSN Cheyenne Campus, to use up to \$200,000 from the Student Government Building Fund to assist in the funding, and to seek approval from the Interim Finance Committee and the State Public Works Board. Mr. Klaich seconded.

Motion carried.

33. Approved Use of Capital Improvement Fees, WNCC

Approved to use up to \$95,147 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at WNCC for instructional enhancements.

Dr. Derby moved approval to use up to \$95,147 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at WNCC for instructional enhancements. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

34. Approval Easement, WNCC

Approved easement for the Child Care Center at WNCC.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the easement for the Child Care Center at WNCC. Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

35. Approved Handbook Addition, Lab Fees, WNCC

Approved Handbook additions, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section

11, Student Fees at WNCC:

OH 102B Beginning Floral Design \$60.00

OH 104B Floriculture \$60.00

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook additions regarding student fees at WNCC. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

36. Approved Self-Supporting Budgets, UNR

Approved the following Self-Supporting Budgets at UNR:

Continuing Education

Center for Dispute Resolution

1992-93

Budget

Estimate

Resources:

Opening Account Balance \$ -0-

Revenue

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| E. L. Cord Foundation | 278,697 |
| Total Source of Funds | \$278,697 |

Expenditures:

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Professional Salaries | \$128,477 |
| Fringe Benefits | 24,410 |
| Classified Salaries | 18,000 |
| Fringe Benefits | 4,680 |
| Operating | 94,430 |
| Out-of-State Travel | 8,700 |
| Total Expenditures | \$278,697 |

| | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Ending Account Balance | \$ -0- |
| Total Use of Funds | \$278,697 |

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Self-Supporting Budget for Continuing Education, Center for Dispute Resolution at UNR. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

Graduate School

Vice President for Research and Graduate Programs

1992-93

Budget

Estimate

Resources:

Opening Account Balance \$100,000

Revenue

 Foundation 100,000

Total Source of Funds \$100,000

Expenditures:

Professional Salaries \$ 95,000

Fringe Benefits 18,050

Classified Salaries 9,608

Fringe Benefits 2,498

Total Expenditures \$125,156

Ending Account Balance \$ 74,844

Total Use of Funds \$200,000

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Self-Supporting Budget for the Graduate School, Vice President for Research and Graduate Programs at UNR. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

37. Approved Amendment, Mining Lease, UNR

Approved the following Amendment to Mining Sublease:

In January 1988, the Board approved assignment of a sublease from Mine Developers, Inc. (MDI) to American Gold Resources of Houston, Texas on the Mammoth #3 mining claim, which is located near Goldfield, Nevada. Minimum annual royalty payments were set at \$500 annually, against 5% net smelter returns.

In light of the current depressed condition in the mining industry, MDI has requested lowering the annual payment of \$250, and adjusting the net smelter returns to 4%.

The Mackay School of Mines and College of Agriculture concur in this adjustment.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the amendment to the mining sublease for UNR. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

38. Approved Use of Capital Improvement Fee Funds, UNR

Approved authorization to use \$45,000 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at UNR to complete the Nutrition Education and Research Program area of the new Redfield building. The funds will be used for cabinets, shelving, work stations,

built-ins in the demonstration kitchen and other furniture and equipment.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval to use \$45,000 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds at UNR to complete the Nutrition Education and Research Program area of the new Redfield building. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

President Crowley stated for the record that Dr. Steve Mc Farlane, Speech Pathology and Audiology, and Dr. Sachiko St. Jeor, Nutrition Education and Research, have been instrumental in obtaining furnishings for all the rooms in the facility. He noted that all the signs in the building have been coded in Braille, as required by the American Disability Act that was recently passed by Congress.

39. Approved Handbook Change, ASUN Constitution, UNR

Approved the Handbook change, Title 5, Chapter 16, ASUN Constitution, as contained in Ref. L, filed in the Regents' Office.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook change regarding the ASUN Constitution at UNR. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Mo-

tion carried.

40. Report on the Meeting with the State Public Works Board

Chancellor Dawson reported on a meeting held with the State Public Works Board. He stated that UCCSN has 6 pre-planned projects which were approved by the last legislative session totaling approximately \$82 million; however, the State only has a total of \$80-90 million for the entire State in unused debt capacity. He felt that there would not be any State General Fund surplus for capital projects.

The current debt capacity is derived from 2% of the assessed evaluation. Chancellor Dawson suggested that the System begin discussions to amend the State of Nevada Constitution to raise the debt capacity. President Crowley agreed with Chancellor Dawson and suggested that 5% of the assessed evaluation for the entire State be sought. He indicated that other states have a much higher ceiling on capital construction bond indebtedness than current Nevada law allows.

Regents Eardley and Whitley left the meeting.

41. Information Only: Outstanding Faculty Recognition

At the request of the Board of Regents, each Faculty Senate Chairman reported on the outstanding faculty achievements for the institution, as contained in Ref. B, filed in the Regents' Office.

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Kenneth M. Hanlon

University of Nevada, Reno

Ruth E. Billings

Regents Eardley and Whitley returned to the meeting.

42. New Business

B. Public - Ms. Lauren Smith and Ms. Judy Walls, PACE

Program students, addressed the Board and shared their concerns in retaining the UNR PACE Program. It is a well-rounded integrated program for the non-traditional student.

President Crowley assured the Board and the students that UNR supports the PACE Program and will continue with the PACE Program, or a program of its equal, with possible expansion of the program.

43. Information Only: Recommendation for Award to Tenure, UNLV and CCSN

The following recommendations for award to tenure have been forwarded for Board consideration. This is an information item only, with Board action scheduled for the October 8-9, 1992 meeting.

A. University of Nevada, Las Vegas

President Maxson recommends the following award to tenure, effective July 1, 1992, to the following:

Arthur Meyers, Radiological Sciences, College of Health Sciences

B. Community College of Southern Nevada

President Meacham recommends the following award to
tenure effective July 1, 1992, to the following:

Rosemary Hall, Student Development, College Services

The meeting adjourned at 3:45 P.M.

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary of the Board

08-27-1992